NOTICE

THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRODUCED FROM MICROFICHE. ALTHOUGH IT IS RECOGNIZED THAT CERTAIN PORTIONS ARE ILLEGIBLE, IT IS BEING RELEASED IN THE INTEREST OF MAKING AVAILABLE AS MUCH INFORMATION AS POSSIBLE

(NASA-CR-160940) AN ALGORITHM FOR THE RAPID LOCATION OF AN EXTREME OF A FUNCTION SUBJECT ONLY TO GEOMETRIC RESTRICTIONS (Lockheed Engineering and Management) 7 p HC A02/HF A01 CSCL 09B

N81-23812

Unclas CSCL 09B G3/61 23969

AN ALGORITHM FOR THE RAPID LOCATION
OF AN EXTREMUM OF A FUNCTION SUBJECT
ONLY TO GEOMETRIC RESTRICTIONS

George R. Terrell

<u>ABSTRACT</u>

If a function of a single variable is convex and symmetric in a neighborhood of an extremum, the extremum may be approximated to a precision that increases by at least a power of two per functional _valuation. This procedure may be used to drive a complex optimization procedure (such as the Davidon-Fletcher-Powell) in the kind of multivariate area estimation problem encountered in remote sensing.

Key words: Optimization, convex functions

ENGINEERING AND MANAGEMENT SERVICES COMPANY, INC.

JSC-17061

Ref: 643-81-084 Contract NAS 9-15800 Job Order 73-306

TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM

AN ALGORITHM FOR THE RAPID LOCATION OF AN EXTREMUM OF A FUNCTION SUBJECT ONLY TO GEOMETRIC RESTRICTIONS

NASA CR-160940

bу

George R. Terrell

Approved By:

T. C. Minter, Supervisor

Techniques Development Section



January 1981

LEMSCO-15991

1. INTRODUCTION

Let f(X) have a minimum on an interval $[X_0, X_2]$ and assume further that f is convex upward there and symmetric around its minimum. Then we know the following fact about the minimum: (Let $X_1 = \frac{X_0 + X_2}{2}$).

Theorem: Assume without loss of generality that $f(X_0) \le f(X_2)$. Then f assumes its minimum at a point between

$$\frac{1}{2}(x_0 + x_1) + \frac{1}{2} (x_2 - x_1) \frac{f(x_0) - f(x_1)}{f(x_2) - f(x_1)}$$

and whichever of \mathbf{X}_0 and \mathbf{X}_1 that has smaller functional value $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{X})$.

2. PROOF OF THEOREM

Case I: $f(X_1) \le f(X_0) \le f(X_2)$.

Let X^* be such that $f(X^*) = f(X_0)$ and $X_1 \le X^* \le X_2$. It exists by symmetry about the minimum and convexity upward. Similarly, by upward convexity $\{X^*, f(X^*)\}$ is below a segment joining the points $\{X_1, f(X_1)\}$ and $\{X_2, f(X_2)\}$ in the graph of f, so

$$f(X_0) = f(X^*) \le f(X_1) + \frac{(X^* - X_1)}{(X_2 - X_1)} [f(X_2) - f(X_1)]$$

So
$$x^* \ge x_1 + \frac{f(x_0) - f(x_1)}{f(x_2) - f(x_1)} (x_2 - x_1)$$

By symmetry of f around its minimum

$$x_{\min} = \frac{x_0 + x*}{2}$$

So

$$x_{\min} > \frac{1}{2} (x_0 + x_1) + \frac{1}{2} (x_2 - x_1) \frac{f(x_0) - f(x_1)}{f(x_2) - f(x_1)}$$

Now
$$X^* \le X_2$$
 implies $X_{min} = \frac{X_0 + X^*}{2} \le \frac{X_0 + X_2}{2} = X_1$

So $X_1 > X_{min}$ and we have case I.

Case II:
$$f(X_0) \le f(X_1) \le f(X_2)$$

Again, let X* be such that $f(X^*) = f(X_0)$ but $X_0 \neq X^*$. $X^* \leq X_1$ by convexity. Also by upward convexity, $\{X_1, F(X_1)\}$ is below the segment connecting $\{X^*, f(X^*)\}$ to $\{X_2, f(X_2)\}$, so

$$f(X_1) \le f(X^*) + \frac{(X_1 - X^*)}{(X_2 - X^*)} (F(X_2) - f(X^*))$$

and this may be manipulated to

$$x^* \leq x_1 + (x_2 - x_1) \frac{f(x_0) - f(x_1)}{f(x_2) - f(x_1)}$$

Again by symmetry

$$x_{\min} = \frac{x_0 + x^*}{2}, \text{ so}$$

$$x_{\min} \le \frac{1}{2} \left[x_0 + x_1 \right] = \frac{1}{2} (x_2 - x_1) \frac{f(x_0) - f(x_1)}{f(x_2) - f(x_1)}$$

But $X_0 \leq X_{min}$ by assumption, so we have case II.

The case $f(X_0) \le f(X_2) < f(X_1)$ violates convexity upward, so

Q.E.D.

<u>Corollary</u>: The new sub-interval containing the minimum of f is at most one fourth the length of $[x_0, x_2]$.

<u>Proof</u>: The computed boundary in the formula is clearly from its formula nearer the other boundary than is

$$\frac{x_0 + x_1}{2} = 3/4x_0 + 1/4x_2.$$
 Q.E.D.

3. APPLICATION

These results become an algorithm for the minimization or maximization of a function meeting or nearly meeting the requirements of symmetry and convexity. This method involves replacing $\begin{bmatrix} x_0, x_2 \end{bmatrix}$ by the new interval at successive iterations. Convergence is at least by powers of one fourth at a cost of two functional evaluations per iteration.